

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
DONALD P. GAGLIARDI, Bar No. 138979  
[dgagliardi@be-law.com](mailto:dgagliardi@be-law.com)  
BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**VERIGY'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Judge: Hon. Howard R. Lloyd  
Ct. No.: 2, 5th Floor

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007  
Trial Date: None Set

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11(a) and 79-5(b), plaintiff Verigy U.S., Inc. ("Plaintiff"  
2 or "Verigy") requests that the following materials be filed under seal, because they contain  
3 confidential information that is protected by the Stipulated Protective Order entered by the Court  
4 on August 29, 2007. The documents submitted under seal include:

5 1. Portions of Verigy Inc.'s Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to  
6 Defendants' Motion to Quash Subpoena Served on Mount & Stoelker PC (the "Opposition").

7 These materials (hereafter "the Materials") each disclose information that has been  
8 designated as "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" or "Confidential" by the parties  
9 under the protective order, without objection to those designations (although Verigy reserves its  
10 rights to challenge such designations pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order). Although the  
11 information in the Materials has been designated as protected from disclosure under the Protective  
12 Order, Verigy relies on this information in support of its MSA. The parties' confidentiality  
13 interest therefore overcomes the right of public access to the record, as a substantial probability  
14 exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interest will be prejudiced if the record is not  
15 sealed. Further, the proposed sealing is narrowly tailored and no less restrictive means exist to  
16 achieve this overriding interest.

17 Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 79-5(b)-(c), Verigy therefore lodges the Materials with this  
18 Court, and respectfully requests leave to file the aforementioned documents under seal.

19 Dated: September 16, 2008

BERGESON, LLP

20 By: /s/  
Donald P. Gagliardi

21 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
22 VERIGY US, INC.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28